

UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVE	NTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/534,7	17 03/24/0	0 SALFELD		J	BBI-093CP
000959		HM12/0627	٦	EXAMINER	
28 STATE	COCKFIELD			PRASAD.S	
BOSTON MA				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	7 02109			1646 DATE MAILED:	5
					06/27/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/534,717 Salfeld et al. Examiner Art Unit							
Office Action Summary							
Art Unit							
Sarada C Prasad 1646							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence add	dress						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timel - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any Status	y. ommunication.						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 January 2001</u> .							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-141</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.	•						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) \boxtimes Claims <u>1-141</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
ttachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Other:	s))-152)						

Art Unit: 1646

ţ

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-52, 63, 74-83, and 87-93, drawn to antibodies which bind human IL-12, classified in class 530, subclass 387.3.
- II. Claims 53-62, 64-73, 85 and 86, drawn to nucleic acids encoding antibody regions, vectors and method of use, classified in class 536, subclass 23.5 and class 435, subclasses 320.1 and 69.1.
- III. Claims 94-99, drawn to a method of inhibiting IL-12 activity, classified in class 424, subclass 133.1.
- IV. Claims 100-138, drawn to a method of antibody mutagenesis, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
- V. Claims 139-141, drawn to a method of detecting human IL-12, classified in class 435, subclass 7.2.
- 2. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions.
- 3. The inventions of Groups I and II are unrelated, being drawn to completely different biological products, having completely different chemical structures and biological uses, which uses cannot be interchanged.
- 4. The methods of Groups III, IV, and V are completely unrelated, having completely different method steps and completely different outcomes. The methods cannot be

Application/Control Number: 09/534717

Page 3

Art Unit: 1646

interchanged. The method of Group III will not affect the mutation of an antibody nor will it actively detect IL-12. The method of Group IV will not affect that activity of IL-12, nor will it detect IL-12. Finally, the method of Group V will not affect mutation of an antibody or activity of IL-12.

- 5. The Inventions of Group I and Group III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the antibodies may be used to detect or isolate IL-12.
- 6. The Inventions of Group I and Group V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the antibodies may be used to isolate IL-12.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/534717 Page 4

Art Unit: 164

8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for any single Group is not required and is not cohesive for the search required for any other Group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

9. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Groups I, III, and V contain or require antibodies defined by a multitude of SEQ ID Nos. or combination of SEQ ID Nos. defining the CDR., CDR2, and CDR3 regions, as well as the heavy chain regions and the light chain regions in their entirety. Should applicant elect any of Groups I, III, or V, applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Applicant should identify a single sequence for each of the CDR., CDR2, and CDR3 regions and the corresponding single sequence for the entire heavy and light chain for examination.

Group I also contains compositions comprising not only antibodies but an additional therapeutic agent, selected from a list of in excess of 100 different possibilities listed in multiple Markush groups. Should applicant elect Group I, once applicant has elected a single species of antibody, applicant is also required to elect a single species of therapeutic agent for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Application/Control Number: 09/534717

Art Unit: 164

Group II contains claims directed to patentably distinct species of polynucleotides defined by SEQ ID Nos. Should applicant elect Group II, applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, defined by SEQ ID NO. for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Page 5

These requirements are necessary as each species is drawn to a unique molecule which does not share biochemical properties with any other molecule and which requires a unique and non-cohesive search and consideration.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election. applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/534717

Art Unit: 164

Page 6

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarada Prasad whose telephone number is (703) 305-1009.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, can be reached on (703) 308-6564. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3014 or (703) 308-4242.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [yvonne.eyler@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

June 26, 2001

YVONNE EYLER, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600